EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0056-LII-E TCEQ ID: RN103862447 CASE NO.: 35210
RESPONDENT NAME: ALBERT E. ELLIS

RES	PONDENT NAME: ALBERT E. EL	T19			
ORDER TYPE:					
1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
X FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER			
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER				
CASE TYPE:					
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE			
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	X_OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
TYPE OF OPERATION: Landscape and lawn maintenance business SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There is one complaint. The complainant alleged that the Respondent contracted to install an irrigation system without an irrigator license. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this respondent. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent expressed an interest in this matter. COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on June 15, 2009. No comments were received. CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Peipey Tang, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0654 Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Harvey Wilson, Water Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-0321 TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Stephen Smith, Houston Regional Office, MC R-12, (713) 767-3581 Respondent: MR. Albert E. Ellis, 3606 Mystic Bay Court, Sugar Land, Texas 77498 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter.					
		·			
1					

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS VIOLATION INFORMATION TAKEN/REQUIRED Total Assessed: \$872 **Ordering Provisions:** Type of Investigation: X Complaint Total Deferred: \$0 The Respondent shall: __ Routine Expedited Order Enforcement Follow-up Financial Inability to Pay 1. Immediately, cease selling, designing, X Records Review SEP Conditional Offset consulting, installing, maintaining, altering, repairing, or servicing, landscape irrigation Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: Total Due to General Revenue: \$872 systems until properly licensed. November 7, 2007 Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: This is a Default Order. The Respondent has 2. Within 15 days, submit a written certification December 13, 2007 not actually paid any of the assessed penalty statement demonstrating compliance with but will be required to do so under the terms of Ordering Provision No. 1. Date of NOE Relating to this Case: this proposed Order. December 21, 2007 **Background Facts:** Site Compliance History Classification The EDPRP was filed June 27, 2008; service was not achieved. The EDFARP was filed September 9, 2008, was and re-filed on October 15, 2008, and Person Compliance History Classification mailed to the Respondent via certified mail, return N/A receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned Major Source: ___ Yes X No the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has not been returned, indicating Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 that the Respondent did receive notice of the EDFARP. The EDSARP was filed February 9, 2009, and mailed to the Respondent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has not been returned, indicating that the Respondent did receive notice of the EDSARP. The Respondent failed to file an answer to either the EDFARP or the EDSARP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference. **Current Compliance Status:** The Respondent has not obtained an irrigator license. Failed to possess a valid irrigator license issued by the TCEO prior to selling, designing, consulting, installing, maintaining, altering, repairing or servicing an irrigation system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 30.5(a) and 344.4(a)1; Tex. WATER CODE § 37.003; TEX. OCC. CODE § 1903.251; and Default Findings Order Docket No. 2003-1553-LII-E, Ordering Provision 2.a.].

^{1 30} TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 344.4(a) is now found at 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 344.30, adopted to be effective, January 1, 2009, 33 Tex. Reg. 5713.

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) Assigned 7-Jan-2008 Screening 7-Jan-2008 PCW 3-Sep-2008 **EPA** Due RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Albert E. Ellis Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN103862447 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 1 Enf./Case ID No. 35210 Order Type Findings Docket No. 2008-0056-LII-E Enf. Coordinator Harvey Wilson Media Program(s) Irrigators Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 3 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum Penalty Calculation Section \$250 TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$62 Compliance History 25% Enhancement Subtotals 2.3.&7 A 25% enhancement is recommended for having one Default Findings Notes enforcement order. Culpability No 0% Enhancement \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria Notes \$0 Good Faith Effort to Comply 0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria. Subtotal 6 \$0 0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance \$312 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$560 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 90% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Recommend an upward adjustment of the penalty due to avoided cost Notes associated with Violation No. 1.

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

No deferral is recommended for Findings Orders.

DEFERRAL

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

\$872

\$872

\$872

\$0

Screening Date 7-Jan-2008

Docket No. 2008-0056-LII-E

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision November 6, 2007

Respondent Albert E. Ellis Case ID No. 35210

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103862447 Media [Statute] Irrigators

Enf. Coordinator Harvey Wilson

Compliance History Worksheet

ALTERNATURAL PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)			187. H. 177. / 18 200. H. 2
Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Other written NOVs	0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		25%	
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%	
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
Offici	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	,
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment P	ercentage (S	ubtotal 2)	25%
Repeat Violator (Su	btotal 3)			
N/A	Adjustment P	ercentage (Si	ubtotal 3)	0%
<u> </u>		Crocinage (O		deservation of the
 Compliance History 	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
	Adjustment P	ercentage (S	ubtotal 7)	0%
Compliance History	/ Summary		-	
Compliance History Notes	A 25% enhancement is recommended for having one Default Findings enforcement	order.		
	Total Adjustment Percentage		-	

Screening Date		Doc	ket No. 2008-0056-LII-E		PCW
Respondent				Policy Rev	ision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW Re	evision November 6, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		•			
Media [Statute]		•			
Enf. Coordinator				*	
Violation Number	1	30 Tex. Admin. Code §	\$ 344 4(a) and 30 5(a)		
Rule Cite(s)		37.003, Tex. Occupatio	ns Code § 1903.251, and Defaul LII-E, Ordering Provision 2.a.	t Findings	
Violation Description	designing, consultin system. Specificall irrigation system ins Bend County, Texa issued by the Co	g, installing, maintaining y, the Respondent contra tallation services at 470 as; without possessing a ommission, as document	se issued by the TCEQ prior to se, altering, repairing or servicing a acted, accepted monies for, and 2 Arrowhead Lake Drive, Missou valid, effective landscape irrigated during a record review condunt's irrigator license expired in 19	n irrigation performed ri City, Fort or license cted on	
			Bas	se Penalty	\$2,500
	neuu maaa suu aali	h Medika			
>> Environmental, Property a	niosnumansnean Ha	irm			
Release		erate Minor			
OR, Actual				I	***************************************
Potential			Percent 0%		
SS Programmed to Modelin					
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Mod	erate Minor			
	x		Percent 10%		
Matrix Notes	100% c	of the rule requirement h	as not been met.		
		an a said	Adjustment	\$2,250	
			Adjustment	Ψ2,230	
		·			\$250
Violation Events				E.	
Number of Vic	olation Events	1	25 Number of violation da	ays	
	daily				
mark only one with an x	monthly quarterly semiannual annual		Violation Ba	se Penalty	\$250
	(One single event is reco			
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation		Statutory Limit To	est	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$560	Violation Final Pe	nalty Total	\$872
		This violation Fin	al Assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits)	\$872

	1 Irrigators					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No	. 1						Depreciation
	Item Cost	D. D	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	5.0 Onetime Costs	1 EB Amount
Item Description		Date Required	rillai Dale	115	interest Javeu	Ollettille Costs	EB Alliount
Delayed Costs			5. 万用业为第二届				
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Buildings		<u> </u>		0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction Land				0.0	\$0	n/akka	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			- 1979 (2000) 1 1 1 2 2	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	A. A			0.0	\$0	n/a-th, doc	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	-e-te ir n/a - te te	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs			ed costs before e			one-time avoided o	
Avoided Costs Disposal	emitolikae. te	el demode Marie	ed costs before e	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	: 1, 20.40, 20.70 (10), 1		ed costs before e	0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling			ed costs before e	0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \\$0

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN602376808

ELLIS, ALBERT E

Classification:

Rating:

Regulated Entity:

RN103862447

ELLIS, ALBERT E

Classification:

Site Rating:

ID Number(s):

Location:

3606 Mystic Bay Court, Sugar Land, Texas 77498

TCEQ Region:

REGION 12 - HOUSTON

Date Compliance History Prepared:

January 07, 2008

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

January 07, 2002 to January 07, 2008

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Harvey Wilson

Phone

512-239-0321

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Nο

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?

No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner?

N/A

4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 03/03/2005

ADMINORDER 2003-1553-LII-E

Classification: Major

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 34, SubChapter D 34.007(a) 2F TWC Chapter 37, SubChapter L 37.003 30 TAC Chapter 30, SubChapter A 30.5(a)

30 TAC Chapter 344, SubChapter A 344.4

Description: Failure to hold a valid, effective irrigator license prior to selling and installing an sprinkler system.

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1 12/12/2003 (257425)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ALBERT E. ELLIS	§	
RN103862447	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

DEFAULT ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0056-LII-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Com	mission on Environmental Quality,
("Commission" or "TCEQ") con	sidered the Executive Direc	tor's Second Amended Report and
Petition filed pursuant to TEX. W	VATER CODE chs. 7 and 37,	TEX. OCC. CODE ch. 1903, and the
rules of the TCEQ, which re	equests appropriate relief,	including the imposition of an
		t. The respondent made the subject
of this Order is Albert E. Ellis ("I	Mr. Ellis").	

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Mr. Ellis owns and operates a landscape and lawn maintenance business, located at 7507 Dawn Mist Court, Sugar Land, Fort Bend County, Texas (the "Operation") at the time of the record review conducted on December 13, 2007.
- 2. Mr. Ellis sells, designs, consults, installs, maintains, alters, repairs, and/or services landscape irrigation systems. Therefore, Mr. Ellis is subject to TCEQ jurisdiction pursuant to Tex. Occ. Code ch. 1903, Tex. Water Code ch. 37, and 30 Tex. Admin. Code chs. 30 and 344.
- During a records review conducted on December 13, 2007, TCEQ staff documented that Mr. Ellis failed to possess a valid irrigator license issued by the TCEQ prior to selling, designing, consulting, installing, maintaining, altering, repairing or servicing an irrigation system. Specifically, Mr. Ellis contracted, accepted monies for, and performed irrigation system installation services at 4702 Arrowhead Lake Drive, Missouri City, Fort Bend County, Texas without possessing a valid, effective landscape irrigator license.
- 4. Mr. Ellis received notice of the violations on or about December 26, 2007.

- 5. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Albert E. Ellis" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on June 27, 2008.
- 6. By letter dated on June 27, 2008, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Mr. Ellis with notice of the EDPRP. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail, "notifying sender of new address." The first class mail has been returned, indicating that Mr. Ellis did not receive notice of the EDPRP.
- 7. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's First Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Albert E. Ellis" (the "EDFARP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on September 9, 2008.
- 8. By letter dated on September 9, 2008, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Mr. Ellis with notice of the EDFARP. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has been returned, indicating that Mr. Ellis did not receive notice of the EDFARP.
- 9. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's First Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Albert E. Ellis" in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on October 15, 2008.
- 10. By letter dated on October 15, 2008, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Mr. Ellis with notice of the EDFARP. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has not been returned, indicating that Mr. Ellis received notice of the EDFARP.
- 11. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Second Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Albert E. Ellis" (the "EDSARP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on February 9, 2009.
- 12. By letter dated on February 9, 2009, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Mr. Ellis with notice

of the EDSARP. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has not been returned, indicating that Mr. Ellis received notice of the EDSARP.

13. More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Ellis received notice of the EDFARP and the EDSARP, provided by the Executive Director. Mr. Ellis failed to file an answer to either the EDFARP or the EDSARP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 1 and 2, Mr. Ellis is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 37, Tex. Occ. Code ch. 1903, and the rules of the Commission.
- As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3, Mr. Ellis failed to possess a valid irrigator license issued by the TCEQ prior to selling, designing, consulting, installing, maintaining, altering, repairing or servicing an irrigation system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 30.5(a) and 344.4(a)¹; Tex. WATER CODE § 37.003; Tex. OCC. CODE § 1903.251; and Default Findings Order Docket No. 2003-1553-LII-E, Ordering Provision No. 2.a.
- 3. As Evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 9 and 10, the Executive Director has timely served Mr. Ellis with proper notice of the EDFARP filed on October 15, 2008, as required by Tex. Water Code § 7.055 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.104(c)(2).
- 4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 11 and 12, the Executive Director has timely served Mr. Ellis with proper notice of the EDSARP filed on February 9, 2009, as required by Tex. Water Code § 7.055 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.104(c)(2).
- 5. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 13, Mr. Ellis has failed to file a timely answer to either the EDFARP or the EDSARP, as required by Tex. Water Code § 7.056 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.105. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.057 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Mr. Ellis and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
- 6. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Mr. Ellis for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of

¹ 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 344.4(a) is now found at 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 344.30, adopted to be effective, January 1, 2009, 33 Tex. Reg. 5713.

Albert E. Ellis TCEQ Docket No. 2008-0056-LII-E Page 4

rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.

- 7. An administrative penalty in the amount of eight hundred seventy-two dollars (\$872.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053.
- 8. Tex. Water Code §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.

ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

Mr. Ellis is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of hundred seventy-two dollars (\$872.00) for violations of Tex. Water Code ch. 37, Tex. Occ. Code ch. 1903, and the rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Ellis's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to the "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality." The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Albert E. Ellis; Docket No. 2008-0056-LII-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Mr. Ellis shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, Mr. Ellis shall cease selling, designing, consulting, installing, maintaining, altering, repairing, or servicing landscape irrigation systems until properly licensed, in accordance with the requirements of 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code ch. 344; and

b. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, Mr. Ellis shall submit a written certification statement demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with copies to:

Manager, Regulatory Compliance Section Compliance Support Division, MC 178 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and:

Stephen Smith, Water Section, Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 4. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Ellis. Mr. Ellis is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Operation referenced in this Order.

- 5. If Mr. Ellis fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Ellis's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Mr. Ellis shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Ellis shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Ellis becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Ellis shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Ellis receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 7. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Mr. Ellis if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Ellis has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 9. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106(d) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.144.

Albert E. Ellis TCEQ Docket No. 2008-0056-LII-E Page 7

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

AFFIDAVIT OF PEIPEY TANG

STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF TRAVIS §

"My name is Peipey Tang. I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Mary Hammer filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Albert E. Ellis" (the "EDPRP") with the Office of the Chief Clerk on June 27, 2008.

Mary Hammer sent the EDPRP to Mr. Ellis at his last known address on June 27, 2008 via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail, "notifying sender of new address." The first class mail has been returned, indicating the respondent did not receive notice of the EDPRP, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(a).

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, I filed the "Executive Director's First Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Albert E. Ellis' (the "EDFARP") with the Office of the Chief Clerk on September 9, 2008.

I sent the EDFARP to Mr. Ellis at his last known address on September 9, 2008 via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has been returned, indicating the respondent did not receive notice of the EDFARP, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.104(a).

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, I filed the "Executive Director's First Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Albert E. Ellis" with the Office of the Chief Clerk on October 15, 2008.

I sent the EDFARP to Mr. Ellis at his last known address on October 15, 2008 via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class

mail has not been returned, indicating the respondent received notice of the EDFARP, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(c)(2).

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, I filed the "Executive Director's Second Amended Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Albert E. Ellis" (the "EDSARP") with the Office of the Chief Clerk on February 9, 2009.

I sent the EDSARP to Mr. Ellis at his last known address on February 9, 2009 via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed." The first class mail has not been returned, indicating the respondent received notice of the EDSARP, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.104(c)(2).

More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Ellis received notice of the EDFARP and the EDSARP. Mr. Ellis failed to file an answer to either the EDFARP or the EDSARP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference."

Peipey Tang

Attorney

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Peipey Tang, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that she executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this at day of May, A.D., 2009

Margaret Jackson
Notary Public
Notary Public
Strates of Texas
My Commission Expires
October 06, 2009

Notary Signature